

REMARKS

Claims 1-20 are pending in the application and the same are rejected. By this Amendment, claims 1, 8, and 15 are amended. Accordingly, claims 1-20 remain in the application and are presented for review and further consideration by the Examiner.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Arellano, et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,694,482. (Examiner's Final Action, page 3, ¶ 7).

In response, Applicant has amended claims 1, 8, and 15.

Arellano discloses a method for creating and delivering an interactive multimedia application that can dynamically adapt to at least one user. A user model is created for a user. The user model represents interests and trends of the user. A multimedia story is developed based on the user model. A customized presentation of the multimedia story is generated where the multimedia story allows for multiple presentations of the multimedia story. The customized presentation is displayed to the user. The customized presentation is modified based on input from the user.

Arellano does not disclose communicating a document from a client to a server and the server posting the document according to selected frequently used delivery instructions of a user of the client. The presentation disclosed in Arellano is not disclosed to have originated from a client for the user and then communicated from the client to a server where the server posts the document.

In contrast, Applicant's independent claims 1, 8, and 15, as amended, include wording that frequently used delivery instructions are gathered for a particular user of a client, the document is communicated from the client to a server, and the server posts the document according to frequently used delivery instructions selected from the frequently used delivery instructions for the user of the client. Since, Arellano does not disclose this relationship between the client and the server with respect to the document, Arellano does not disclose each

and every element of the present invention as claimed in Applicant's independent claims 1, 8, and 15.

In view of Applicant's arguments and amendments with respect to independent claims 1, 8, and 15 being allowable, Applicant respectfully submits that the remaining dependent claims are also allowable because they contain all of the limitations of their respective independent claims and further add structural and functional limitations.

The foregoing amendments and arguments are believed to be a complete response to the most recent Examiner's Action.


No new matter has been added.

It is respectfully submitted that there is no claim, teaching, motivation, or suggestion in any of the prior art cited, alone or in combination, to produce what Applicant claims.

It is further submitted that the application, as amended, defines patentable subject matter and that the claims are in a condition for allowance. Such allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

Should any issues remain which would preclude the prompt disposition of this case, it is requested that the Examiner contact the undersigned practitioner by telephone.

Respectfully submitted,
Barry D. Kurtz

By 
Mark G. Pannell
Reg. No. 40,761

Date 09/19/2005
(719) 260-7900